

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-------------|----------------------|-----------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/560,673 | 12/14/2005 | Giuseppe Mele | UP-341 | 2327 | |
| 7590 08/21/2007 | | | EXAMINER | | |
| George Pappas Suite 300 | | | · WORRELL JR, LARRY D | | |
| 919 S Harrison Street Fort Wayne, IN 46802 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| · | 1 10002 | | 3765 | | |
| | | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE | |
| | | | 08/21/2007 | PAPER | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| | | Appl | ication No. | Applicant(s) | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|---------------------|---------------------|--|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/5 | 60,673 | MELE ET AL. | MELE ET AL. | | | | |
| | | Exan | niner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | | | y Worrell | 3765 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply | | | | | | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | | | | | | | | | |
| Status | · | | | | | | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed | lon . | | | | | | | |
| 2a) <u></u> | his action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final. | | | | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | | | |
| 4)🖂 | Claim(s) 1-4 is/are pending in the app | olication. | | | | | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | | | |
| 5) | Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | • | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | 6) Claim(s) <u>1-4</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | | | |
| | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | • | | | | |
| 8)□ | Claim(s) are subject to restrict | ion and/or elect | on requirement. | | | | | | |
| Applicati | on Papers | | | | | | | | |
| 9) 🔲 | The specification is objected to by the | Examiner. | | | • | | | | |
| 10) | The drawing(s) filed on is/are: | a) accepted | or b) objected | to by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any object | tion to the drawing | g(s) be held in abe | yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | | | |
| Priority u | ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | | | |
| a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of: | | | | | | | | | |
| | 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage | | | | | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | | | | | | | | | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | · | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | | | | | |
| | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | O-948) | | No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>2/13/06</u> . 6) Other: | | | | | | | | | |

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: References to specific claims should be avoided, e.g. page 1, line 6-8. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 1-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Re claim 1, the recitation "and with at least one weft bar" is confusing. A more clear recitation is "and at least one weft bar." In line 4, the recitation "the textile material" is indefinite as it has no clear antecedent basis. Also the recitation "the basis' is unclear.

Re claim 2, the recitation "the individual superposition" is indefinite as it lacks clear antecedent basis.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 3765

Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mayer US 4487039.

Mayer teaches the knitting machine as claimed including knitting needles and with at least one weft bar (6) for laying at least one weft thread (11) across at least one knitting needle, an electrically driven thread feeder (19) for the weft thread, and also with a take-down device (inherent to a warp knitting machine) for the textile material and with an electronic control device (26) for controlling the knitting machine on the basis of a pattern program for the textile material to be produced, wherein the control device (26) has control means in order to set the delivery length of the weft thread to be supplied according to the travel of the weft bar (6), said travel being predetermined from the pattern program. See column 3, line 61- column 4, line 27. Note the manual correction factor set forth in column 4, lines 3-12.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 3 and 4 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mayer (4487039).

Mayer (4487039) teaches the invention substantially as claimed as indicated above in the rejection to claim 1. However Mayer does not expressly set forth a screen, specifically a touch screen, for the controlling apparatus. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the control device of Mayer with a screen

Application/Control Number: 10/560,673 Page 4

Art Unit: 3765

specifically a touch screen since the examiner takes Official Notice of use of touch screens for computer control units in order to view and set computer control units.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Danny Worrell whose telephone number is 571/272-4997. The examiner can normally be reached on MONDAY-THURSDAY.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, GARY WELCH can be reached on 571/272-4996. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Danny Worrell
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 3765